### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



From the		_					
	TIONAL SEARCI	HING AUTHO	RITY				
To: HADASSA WATERMAN G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. II MENACHEM BEGIN STREET RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL 52521				PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE			
10 m. 21. Grav, 10.10 10.00 32.52.1				INTERNATIO	ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) 15 OCT 2008			
Applicant's or agent's file reference				FOR FURTHER ACTION			
39833				See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. Ir			International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
			19 July 2007 (19.07.200				
			both national classification	on and IPC			
	A61K 51/00( 200) 424/1.11:604/403	6.01);A61N 5/0	00( 2006.01)		•		
Applicant		ν.		· -			
SPECTRU	JM DYNAMICS						
1. This c	pinion contains in	ndications relati	ing to the following item	s:			
$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the o	pinion				
	Box No. 11	II Priority					
$\boxtimes$	Box No. III	Non-establish	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
$\boxtimes$	Box No. IV	Lack of unity	Lack of unity of invention				
$\boxtimes$	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain docum	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defect	ts in the international app	olication			
	Βοχ Νο. VIΠ	Certain observations on the international application					
2 FUR	THER ACTIO	N					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("PEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer							
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US		01 September 2	008 (01.09.2008)	MARIA B. MARVICH			

Telephone No. (703) 308-0196

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

International application No.
PCT/IL07/00918

Box No. I Basis of this opinion								
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:								
the international application in the language in which it was filed								
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).  This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a)).  With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of:								
a. type of material								
a sequence listing								
table(s) related to the sequence listing								
b. format of material								
on paper								
in electronic form								
c. time of filing/furnishing								
contained in the international application as filed.								
filed together with the international application in electronic form.								
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.								
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.								
5. Additional comments:								
·								
·								

International application No.

PCT/TL07/00918

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
the entire international application					
claims Nos. 290-295,359,362,363,367.371,372,380-382,384,449-540 and 578-582					
because:					
the said international application, or the said claim Nos. 428-448 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):					
because the claimed recitation of a use without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is nt a proper process claim under 35 USC 101.					
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 290- 295,359,362,363,367,371,372,380-382,384,449-540 and 578- are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
A multiply dependent claim cannot depend from another multiply dependent claim					
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.					
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:					
furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter. I(a) or (b).					
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
See Supplemental Box for further details.					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. III) (April 2007)

International application No.	
PCT/IL07/00918	

Во	x No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:  paid additional fees  paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee  paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid  not paid additional fees
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	complied with
	not complied with for the following reasons:  See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)
	See the lack of thinly seed of the international beautiful contribution
	·
	$\cdot$
4. C	consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:  all parts.  the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-289,296-358.360,364-366,368-370,373-379,383,385-448,541-577 and 583-588

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. IV) (April 2007)

International application No. PCT/IL07/00918

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.	
V.1. Reasoned Statements: The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 1-251, 262-266, 268-272, 275, 283-288, 296-358, 360, 361, 364-36 368-370, 373-379, 383, 389-427, 542-565, 567-577 The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 252-261, 267, 273, 274, 276, 385-388, 541, 552, 566, 583, 584, 586 587 The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 1-251, 262-266, 268-272, 275, 287, 288, 296-358, 360, 361, 364-366, 368-370, 373-379, 383, 389-427, 542-565, 567-577 The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 252-261, 267, 273, 274, 276, 283-286, 289, 385-388, 541, 552, 566, 583, 584, 586, 587 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-227, 283-289, 296-358, 360, 361, 364-366, 368-370, 373-379, 383, 385-427, 541-577, 583-588 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE	
·	